Case 1:21-cr-00027-JLT-SKO Document 72 Filed 12/01/22 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JESSICA A. MASSEY Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8	IN THE LIMITED	STATES DISTRICT COLUDT	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
0	EASTERN DIS	OTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:21-CR-00027-JLT-SKO-5	
2	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER	
3	v.		
4	DONIS ARIEL MALDONADO, DATE: December 7, 2022		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 1:00 p.m. COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
8	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant Donis		
9	Ariel Maldonado, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter w	vas set for status conference on December 7, 2022.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until February		
22	15, 2023, and to exclude time between December 7, 2022, and February 15, 2023, under 18 U.S.C.		
23	§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4].		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate,	and request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has rep	resented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes numerous reports, photographs, and recordings. The government has provided		
27	discovery and/or has made discovery available to counsel for review. The government is aware		
$_{28}$	of its ongoing discovery obligations.		

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with their client, review the current charges, conduct investigation, review/copy discovery, discuss potential resolutions with their client, prepare pretrial motions, and/or to otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of December 7, 2022, to February 15, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the

18 | /// 19 | ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 | /// 25 | ///

26 ///

28 ///

///

27

Case 1:21-cr-00027-JLT-SKO Document 72 Filed 12/01/22 Page 3 of 3

1	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
2	must commence.	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
4		
5	Dated: November 30, 2022 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
6		
7	/s/ JESSICA A. MASSEY JESSICA A. MASSEY	
8	Assistant United States Attorney	
9		
10	Dated: November 30, 2022 /s/ KEVIN ROONEY	
11	KEVIN ROONEY Counsel for Defendant	
12	DONIS ARIEL MALDONADO	
13		
14	ORDER	
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	40/0/0000	
18	Dated: 12/2/2022 Sheila K. Oberto Hon. Sheila K. Oberto	
19	Hon. Sheila K. Oberto U.S. Magistrate Judge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		